IFRS 17 *Insurance Contracts*

11

08 October 2019

* * *



Agenda

- 2 Definition and scope
- 3 Separation
- 4 Recognition, modification and derecognition
- 5 Level of aggregation
- 6 Measurement models
- 7 General model
- 8 Variable fee approach

9 Premium allocation approach

10 Reinsurance

11 Presentation and disclosure

12 Transition

Section 1 IFRS 17 timeline



Overview of IFRS 17

1





Section 2 Definition and scope

Definition of insurance contract under IFRS 17:

A contract under which one party (the issuer) accepts significant insurance risk from another party (the policyholder) by agreeing to compensate the policyholder if a specified uncertain future event (the insured event) adversely affects the policyholder.

Significant insurance risk:

Significant if and only if an insured event could cause an additional **amount** that has commercial substance in any single scenario; **consider in a present value basis**, but not the probability of the event.

Significant insurance risk only occurs when there is a possibility that an issuer will incur a loss on a present value basis.



EY insights



The definition of insurance contract applies to a contract instead of a company under IFRS 17.



The definition of an insurance contract under IFRS 17 is consistent with the definition under IFRS 4.



For determination of significant insurance risk transfer, any scenarios that have no commercial substance are excluded.



This means that an insurance contract transfers insurance risk only if there is a scenario that has commercial substance in which the issuer has a possibility of a loss on present-value basis.







3 Separation

Disaggregate non-distinct investment component

For measurement purposes, an insurance contract is the cash flows remaining after non-insurance components are separated.



EY insights

The amounts that an insurance contract requires

Investment component is defined as:

01

Page 8

Section 4 Recognition, modification and derecognition

Impacts on the recognition, modification and derecognition should be assessed

The IFRS 17 standard indicates the earliest date when an insurance contract is recognised. It also gives guidance on how contract modifications are treated and the subsequent implications for derecognising an insurance contract.

| Recognition | Modification | Derecognition | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Beginning of the coverage period Due date of first payment from the policyholder Indication that group of contract is onerous | Separation results in a different insurance contract Results in a different group of contracts Results in a different contract boundary | Derecognition within a group of insurance contracts by adjusting: Fulfilment cash flow of the group CSM of the group | |

EY insights

- If the terms of an insurance contract are modified, an entity shall derecognize the original contract and recognize the modified contract as a new contract applying IFRS 17 or other applicable standards if certain conditions are met.
- Change of the measurement model (i.e. GM, PAA, VFA) is another example of the modification.

03

Derecognition of an insurance contract happens when, and only when, the insurance contract expires or is discharged or cancelled or when modifications meet certain criteria. Section 5
Level of aggregation

Level of aggregation

5

Significant judgement is required for the level of aggregation



Portfolio B

Portfolio A

No

significant

possibility

of

becoming

onerous

Other

profitable

Onerous

at

inception

Portfolio A

2 Ú

Portfolio B

Portfolio B

No

significant

possibility

of

becoming

onerous

Other

profitable

Onerous

at

inception

No

significant

possibility

of

becoming

onerous

Other

profitable

Onerous at

inception

5 Level of aggregation

Significant judgement is required for the level of aggregation

An entity shall identify portfolios of insurance contracts that are subject to similar risks and managed together. Contracts in different product lines would not be expected to have similar risks and hence would be expected to be in different portfolios. An entity shall divide a portfolio of insurance contracts issued into a minimum of:

- A group of contracts that are onerous at initial recognition
- A group of contracts that at initial recognition have no significant possibility of becoming onerous subsequently
- A group of the remaining contracts in the portfolio



01 Grou

Groups of contracts shall be established at the inception of the contracts, and the composition shall not be reassessed subsequently.

EY insights

02

Onerous contract test at initial recognition can be conducted by measuring:

- (i) any sets of contracts using reasonable and supportable information; or
- (ii) individual contracts.



Non-onerous contracts are determined to have no significant possibility of becoming onerous based on:

- (i) the likelihood of changes in assumptions; or
- (ii) information about estimates provided by the entity's internal reporting.



Example.....



Example.....





Section 6 Measurement model









Overview





Future cash flows - The definition of attributable expense is extremely important

A systematic, appropriate apportionment method should be used to allocate expenses into groups of contract. Expenses with similar features should be treated systematically and consistently.



EY insights

The entity should establish the attributable expense assumptions based on your current expense policy, and assess the corresponding impact on the FCF.

01

02

The expense granularity, allocation of the fixed and variable overheads directly attributable to fulfilling insurance contract would require systematic and rational expense record and study.

Page 20

Overview



The unearned profit that the entity recognises as it provides services

The compensation that the entity requires for bearing the uncertainty about the amount and timing of the cash flows that arise as the entity fulfils the insurance contract

Discount rate that reflects the characteristics of the liabilities (in particular the time value of money)

An explicit, unbiased and probability-weighted estimate of future net cash flows that will arise as the entity fulfils the insurance obligations



Time value of money - The discount rates used may impact results significantly





Sample contract – Contract data

- Portfolio of term life contracts
- Duration: 5 years
- Single premium: 100
- Due at inception on Jan 1 (t = 0)
- Regular claim outflows each year Due at the end of each period, for the first time on Dec 31 (t = 1)
- Discount rate: 2%
- Risk adjustment = 2% of the PV of expected cash outflows

| t | Cash inflows (expected t = 0) | Cash outflows (expected t = 0) |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 19.5 |
| 2 | 0 | 19.5 |
| 3 | 0 | 19.5 |
| 4 | 0 | 19.5 |
| 5 | 0 | 19.5 |
| | 100 | 97.5 |



Sample calculation - BBA





7

Sample calculation - BBA Assuming no variability in fulfilment cash flows

Insurance liability



BBA – Measurement on initial recognition





Section 8 Variable fee approach



8 Variable fee approach

| Overview | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Continuum of insurance cont | racts | |
| Type of contract | Non-participating | Indirect participating | Direct participating |
| Measurement | General model | | Variable fee model |
| Interest expense | General model – Effective yiel | ld | Current period book yield |

8 Variable fee approach

Three criteria for applying the variable fee approach:



The contract specifies a participation in clearly identifiable underlying pools of assets (or other underlying items).



The entity expects that a substantial proportion of the cash flow from the contract will vary with underlying items.



The entity expects that the policyholder will receive a substantial share of the returns.



Section 9 Premium allocation approach

What are the eligibility criteria?



Measurement basis

Initial recognition, note on the carrying amount of the liability

- includes the premium, pre-coverage CFs, any onerous contract liability recognised
- may elect to recognise the directly attributable acquisition costs as an expense when it incurs, provided that the coverage period is one year or less

Subsequent reporting period, note on the carrying amount of the liability

• include premium received, any change in onerous contract liability



- When an entity applies PAA for which it:
 - (a) discounts the liability for incurred claims and
 - (b) chooses to present the effect of discount rates changes in OCI

Then the interest expense in P&L should be determined using the locked-in rate at the date the incurred claim is recognised.

- Entity should recognise insurance contract revenue in P&L on the basis of:
 - (a) passage of time; or
 - (b) expected timing of incurred claims benefits (if this is deemed more appropriate)

Section 10 Reinsurance

Definition and scope

Reinsurance contract: "An insurance contract issued by one entity (the reinsurer) to compensate another entity for claims arising from one or more insurance contracts issued by that other entity (underlying contracts)."



10 Reinsurance

Reinsurance contracts held





Definition and scope

Reinsurance contract: "An insurance contract issued by one entity (the reinsurer) to compensate another entity for claims arising from one or more insurance contracts issued by that other entity (underlying contracts)."

Scope of application of IFRS 17:





Section 11 Presentation and disclosure



Statement of Comprehensive Income: A huge change from today

| Statement of Comprehensive Income | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|---|
| Insurance revenue | Х |] |
| Insurance service expenses | (X) |] |
| Insurance service result | Х | |
| Investment income | X | |
| Insurance finance expenses | (X) | |
| Finance result | X | |
| Other profit and loss items | X | |
| Corporate tax | (X) | |
| Profit after tax | X | |
| Other comprehensive income | (X) | |
| Total comprehensive income | X | |

| Release in contractual service margin | | |
|--|------|---|
| Change in risk adjustment | | |
| Expected claims (in fulfilment cash flows) | | |
| Expected expenses (in fulfilment cash flows) | | |
| Allocating premium relating to the recovery of directly attributable acquisition costs | | Ĺ |
| Excluding investment components | | |
| Actual claims incurred |]] | |
| Actual expenses incurred | | |
| Allocating premium relating to the recovery of directly attributable acquisition costs | | < |
| Onerous contracts | | |
| Excluding investment components | | |



Page 38

How performance reporting will change? A comparison

| IFRS 4 | | IFRS 17 | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Premiums | Cash based and includes collection of deposits. | Insurance revenue | Recognized when earned. Excludes | |
| Investment income | other industries | Insurance claims and expenses | ueposits | |
| Incurred claims and expenses | Confusing | Insurance service result | S | |
| Change in insurance contract liabilities | adjustment that incorporates | Investment income | Two drivers of | |
| Profit or loss | Inconsistent measurement | Insurance finance expenses | separately | |
| | | Net financial result | ~ | |
| | | Profit or loss | | |
| | | Insurance finance expenses (optional) | Changes in financial assumptions do not | |
| | | Total comprehensive income | service result | |
| | | | | |



Disclosure requirements will change significantly

| Amount | | Judgement | | Risk | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------|
| Reconciliation for insurance service | | Significant judgements and changes to those include: | | Disclosure for each type of risk | | | |
| Opening balance Closing balance | Net liabilities for remaining coverage | Any loss component | Liabilities for incurred claims | Methods to measure contracts Process for estimating the inputs for those methods | Any changes to the methods and process, reason for each change Types of contracts affected | Risk appetite | Risk exposure |
| Reconciliation for liabilities valued by General model | | | odel | | | | |
| Opening balance Closing balance | Expected present value of future cash flows | RA for non- financial risk | CSM | Confidence level used to determine RA for non-financial risk | Yield curve(s) to discount cash flows that do or do not vary based on returns of underlying items | Risk management Type and extent of risks | Regulatory law |
| More to be disclosed, including but not limited to: | | | | Insurance risks | Financial risks | | |
| Analysis of insurance contract revenue recognized in the period, comprising: CSM release to profit or loss, Expected insurance service expense RA release Recovery of insurance acquisition CFs | | The approach to determine: how to distinguish changes in estimates of future cash flows | | Risk concentrations | Risk concentrations | | |
| | | Dusiness | | from the exercise of discretion from other changes, for contracts without participation features composition and fair value of underlying items for contracts with direct participation features RA for non-financial risk, discount rates and investment components | | Sensitivity analysis | Sensitivity analysis |
| | | A manual t | -f : | | | concerning insurance risks | concerning market risks |
| | | finance in expenses | ncome or s (IFIE) | | | Claims development | Credit and liquidity risks |







Applying IFRS 17 for the first time



Overview

Decide transition method by group of contracts



(*) If no reasonable and supportable information available, use fair value approach

Estimating CSM on transition – Key requirements

Full retrospective approach

 Required when sufficient historical data exists and hindsight is not required

Modified retrospective approach

- When full retrospective approach is impracticable
- Not all historical information is available
- Several modifications are included (e.g. level of aggregation, cash flows, discount rates)
- Modifications to be applied to the extent 'reasonable and supportable information' is available

"Fair value-based approach"

- When full retrospective approach is impracticable
- No historical information about cash flows is available to calculate the CSM
- Insurance liability "calibrated" to fair value
- CSM is positive difference between fair value and fulfilment value







